# Clustering of air quality trends in Europe : 2005-2021

## **INERIS**

### December 19, 2023

Contents

17 Lithuania

18 Luxembourg

20 Netherlands

19 Republic of North Macedonia

1 Aus	stria	3
2 Belg	gium	12
3 Bul	garia	17
4 Swit	tzerland	18
5 Cze	echia	19
6 Ger	rmany	28
7 Den	nmark	41
8 Esta	onia	42
9 Spa	in	43
10 Finl	land	55
11 Fran	nce	56
12 Gre	eece	65
13 Cro	patia	66
14 Hur	ngary	67
15 Irela	and	69
16 Italy	${f y}$	70

81

82

83

84

21 Norway	87
22 Poland	88
23 Portugal	94
24 Romania	95
25 Sweden	96
26 Slovenia	97
27 Slovakia	98

#### 1 Austria




Figure A3.1: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.2: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.3: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.4: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.5: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.6: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.7: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.8: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.9: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.10: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.11: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.12: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.13: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.14: Clustering of the Austria (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

#### 2 Belgium




Figure A3.15: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.16: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.17: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.18: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.19: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.20: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.21: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.22: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.23: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.24: Clustering of the Belgium (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.

# 3 Bulgaria

The minimum number of stations required to assess the country-wise clustering is not met.

## 4 Switzerland

The minimum number of stations required to assess the country-wise clustering is not met.

#### 5 Czechia




Figure A3.25: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.26: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.27: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.28: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.29: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.30: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.31: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.32: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.33: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.34: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.35: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.36: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.37: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.38: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.39: Clustering of the Czechia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

### 6 Germany




Figure A3.40: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.41: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.42: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.43: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.44: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.45: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.46: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.47: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.48: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.49: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.50: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.51: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.52: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.53: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.54: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.55: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.56: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.57: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.58: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.59: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.60: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.61: Clustering of the Germany (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

# 7 Denmark

## 8 Estonia

### 9 Spain




Figure A3.62: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.63: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.64: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.65: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.66: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.67: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.68: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.69: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.70: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.71: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.72: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.73: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.74: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.75: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.76: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.77: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.78: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.79: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.80: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.81: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.82: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.83: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.84: Clustering of the Spain (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

### 10 Finland




Figure A3.85: Clustering of the Finland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

#### 11 France




Figure A3.86: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.87: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.88: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.89: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.90: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.91: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.92: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.93: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.94: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.95: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.96: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.97: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.98: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.99: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.100: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.101: Clustering of the France (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

### 12 Greece

# 13 Croatia

#### 14 Hungary




Figure A3.102: Clustering of the Hungary (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.103: Clustering of the Hungary (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.104: Clustering of the Hungary (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.

# 15 Ireland

### 16 Italy




Figure A3.105: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.106: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.107: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.108: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.109: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.110: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.111: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.112: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.113: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.114: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.115: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.116: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.117: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-SUB typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.118: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.119: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of INDUSTRIAL typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.120: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.121: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.122: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.123: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.124: Clustering of the Italy (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

# 17 Lithuania

# 18 Luxembourg

### 19 Republic of North Macedonia

#### 20 Netherlands




Figure A3.125: Clustering of the Netherlands (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.126: Clustering of the Netherlands (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.127: Clustering of the Netherlands (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.128: Clustering of the Netherlands (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.129: Clustering of the Netherlands (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.130: Clustering of the Netherlands (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of TRAFFIC typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

# 21 Norway

#### 22 Poland




Figure A3.131: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of SOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.132: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of SO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of SO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.133: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.134: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.135: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-RU typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.136: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.137: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.




Figure A3.138: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM25 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.139: Clustering of the Poland (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM25 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM25 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.

#### 23 Portugal




Figure A3.140: Clustering of the Portugal (left) and European-wide (right) trends of NO2 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NO2 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of NOx emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.141: Clustering of the Portugal (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.

# 24 Romania

### 25 Sweden

# 26 Slovenia

#### 27 Slovakia




Figure A3.142: Clustering of the Slovakia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top left: map of stations falling in classes 0,1,2. Top right distribution (%) of station. Top right: distribution (%) of stations of either urban, suburban, and rural background typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom, from left to right: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, distribution of the relative trends of PM10 emissions between 2005 and 2021 in the country corresponding to stations of the three clusters.




Figure A3.143: Clustering of the Slovakia (left) and European-wide (right) trends of PM10 (ug/m3) trends applied to stations in Europe. Top: distribution of stations of BG-UR typology in each of the three clusters. Bottom: distribution of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations estimated in 2005 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters, and distribution of the relative trends of PM10 (ug/m3) concentrations between 2005 and 2021 at stations belonging to each of the three clusters.